

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the comments made herein.

Claims 1-10 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Sigl (US 5,642,260) in view of Shimba (Japanese Patent Application Publication No. H08-99182). For at least the following reasons, the Examiner's rejection is respectfully traversed. Neither Sigl nor Shimba, independently or in combination, teaches or suggests a heat radiating unit including two or more rows of cavity portions separated by one or more dividers. The Examiner concedes that Sigl fails to disclose the use of two or more rows of cavity portions; and thus relies on Shimba in an attempt to make up for the deficiencies of Sigl.

With regards to Shimba, the Examiner states that the abstract discloses and Figs. 3-6 show two rows of cavity portions exist within the box body and are divided so that respective fans 74 provide air stream flows through the respective cavity portions. However, these cavity portions exist within a large, undivided area. No dividers exist between these cavity portions. Further, there is nothing within the abstract of Shimba that discloses, teaches, or suggests providing one or more dividers between the two or more rows of cavity portions in the box body 12. None of the components positioned in the cavity of Shimba function to separate the cavity portions into individualized compartments. Because neither Sigl nor Shimba teaches or suggests a heat radiating unit including two or more rows of cavity portions separated by one or more dividers, as required by claim 1, the combination of Sigl and Shimba cannot render obvious claim 1 or any claims depending from claim 1. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-10 and 12-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider (US 6,888,099) in view of Shimba (Japanese Patent Application Publication No. H08-99182). For at least the following reasons, the Examiner's rejection is respectfully traversed. Neither Schneider nor Shimba, independently or in combination, teaches or suggests a heat radiating unit including two or more rows of cavity portions separated by one or more dividers, as recited in claim 1. The Examiner concedes that Schneider fails to disclose the use of two or more rows of cavity portions; and thus relies on Shimba in an attempt to make up for the

deficiencies of Schneider. As discussed in greater detail above, Shimba does not teach, disclose, or suggest that the box body 12 includes two or more cavities separated into substantially individualized compartments. For at least these reasons, neither Schneider nor Shimba, individually or in combination, teach or suggest each and every limitation set forth in claim 1. Thus, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned agent to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. NGB-39712.

Respectfully submitted,  
PEARNE & GORDON LLP

Date: December 28, 2007

/Una L. Lauricia/  
Una L. Lauricia, Reg. No. 48998

1801 East 9th Street  
Suite 1200  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108  
(216) 579-1700